## EXHIBIT G

```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
                      DISTRICT OF NEVADA
 3
 4
 5
      DANIEL SMALL, CAROLYN SMALL,
      WILLIAM CURTIN, DAVID COHEN,
      LANETTE LAWRENCE, and LOUISE
      COLLARD, Individually, and on )
      Behalf of All Other Persons
      Similarly Situated,
                                       Case No.
                                        2:13-cv-0298-APG-PAL
             Plaintiff,
 9
      vs.
10
                                           CERTIFIED
      UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER OF
11
      SOUTHERN NEVADA,
                                               COPY
             Defendant.
12
13
          REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SPECIAL MASTER'S HEARING
14
                          VOLUME III
16
         BEFORE SPECIAL MASTER PRESIDING, DANIEL GARRIE, ESQ.
17
                Taken on Tuesday, April 22, 2014
                         At 11:01 a.m.
18
19
                At 333 South Las Vegas Boulevard
                          Courtroom 7C
20
                       Las Vegas, Nevada
21
22
23
24
25
     REPORTED BY: Janet C. Trimmer, CRR, CCR No. 864
```

		Page	
1	APPEARANCES:		
2	For the Plaintiffs:		
3	JON A. TOSTRUD, ESQ.		
4	Tostrud Law Group 1925 Century Park East, Suite 2125		
5	Los Angeles, California 90067 (310) 278-2600		
6	jtostrud@tostrudlaw.com		
7	MARC L. GODINO, ESQ. LIONEL GLANCY, ESQ.		
8	KARA WOLKE, ESQ.		
9	Glancy Binkow & Goldberg, LLP 1925 Century Park East		
10	Suite 2100 Los Angeles, California 90067		
11	(310) 201-9105 mgodino@glancylaw.com		
12			
13	For the Defendant University Medical Center of Southern Nevada:		
14	CAYLA J. WITTY, ESQ.		
15	MARGARET G. FOLEY, ESQ. Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith, LLP		
16	6385 South Rainbow Boulevard Suite 600		
17	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 (702) 893-3383		
18	cayla.witty@lewisbrisbois.com		
19	Also Present:		
20	DOUGLAS FORREST, ESQ.		
21	BRUCE PIXLEY DEAN SCHAIBLEY		
22	LARRY BARNARD ERNIE MCKINLEY		
23	JOHN ESPINOZA		
24			
25			
			,

- 1 gave it to your admin, who then took it to the PBX
- 2 people, who wiped the phone, who gave it back to you,
- 3 and you didn't figure out, according to what you just
- 4 testified, that the phone had been wiped?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. All right. As far as you knew, it was the
- 7 exact same phone?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Okay. Did you instruct your administrative
- 10 assistant that the phone was to be preserved?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Did the lawyers tell you that you were under
- 13 an obligation to preserve your mobile device?
- 14 A. I believe we had that conversation at some
- 15 point. I don't recall --
- 16 Q. That's a yes-or-no question. I don't need a
- 17 "can't recall." It's either yes or no or don't say
- 18 anything. And you can talk to your lawyers and then
- 19 give me an answer, but I don't want any more "I can't
- 20 remember."
- 21 A. I'm sorry.
- 22 MS. FOLEY: Is it fair for him to answer "I
- 23 think so" because --
- 24 BY THE SPECIAL MASTER:
- Q. You can say "I think so," and then you can

Page 83

talk with your lawyers and give me an answer. 1 I think so. 2 3 Please discuss with counsel so I can get a 4 yes-or-no answer. Α. Now? Ο. Now. (There was a conference between the witness and counsel.) THE WITNESS: Apparently they -- we had the 9 10 communication November of 2013 to ensure that nothing got wiped from that phone. 11 BY THE SPECIAL MASTER: Q. Apparently you received a communication in 13 November of 2013 from lawyers saying do not wipe 14 15 anything; correct? I don't -- I do not recall a --THE SPECIAL MASTER: Do you have the e-mail? MS. WITTY: We do. I believe that's on your 18 desk. 19 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I need it. 20 21 I'm going to make this very simple for you. You are going to submit to me an affidavit. You are going to review every litigation hold notice you 23 received from your lawyers for as long as you have been at UMC as it relates to this litigation, and you

Page 84

are going to answer the very explicit questions I'm 1 giving you in excruciating detail. 2 But I don't need to see "I do not know," 3 4 because I know ones and zeros do not lie. I know that 5 you either got an e-mail or you did not, either you read the e-mail or you did not, either you understood 7 what the e-mail said in English or you did not, either you told somebody to preserve it or you did not. 9 Are we crystal-clear here? 10 Α. Yes, sir. Okay. I'm ordering you to go through every 11 single litigation hold notice that you have received from counsel or prior counsel. And then I want you to 13 think really hard about your mobile devices, because ones and zeros do not lie. I don't need you to 15 testify to technical information. What I do need you to testify to is the truth and as to what you remember. If you don't remember 18 receiving an e-mail or telling people that you 19 shouldn't destroy your mobile device, that's fine. 20 21 you need to go back and look and refresh your recollection and provide that, but in your affidavit I want the answer. Yes, sir. Okay? Ο.

Page 131

regarding preservation. This is not regarding 1 anything but the merits. 2 3 MR. TOSTRUD: So the interviews have not been 4 produced to Plaintiffs. It's clear --5 MS. WITTY: They are DOL documents. not UMC. MR. TOSTRUD: If I can just get my --THE SPECIAL MASTER: Wait a second. I need 8 9 to get --10 Who -- does UMC have a copy of the interviews? 11 12 THE WITNESS: No. THE SPECIAL MASTER: But did you create the 13 interviews? 14 15 THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. TOSTRUD: 16 How was UMC aware of the interviews? 17 Because Ms. Ybelka -- or Ms. Hernandez 18 indicated that she was interviewing during that period 19 of time. She didn't tell us who she talked to. 20 21 didn't tell us anything with regard to her interviews. 22 Are you aware of how she came into possession 23 of your employees' names and contact information? She asked earlier for a list of names from 25 that department.

```
Where can I find that list?
         Ο.
 1
 2
             THE SPECIAL MASTER: I assume that's been
 3
     produced?
 4
             THE WITNESS: I thought it had been.
 5
     not, it could be produced.
             THE SPECIAL MASTER: Was it withheld, Counsel
 6
 7
     for UMC, in any --
             MS. WITTY: It has not been produced at this
 8
 9
     time.
10
             THE SPECIAL MASTER: Would these be employees
     that she spoke to -- now, I -- again, the merits, I'm
11
     not so interested; but would these employees have
     relevant -- be potential relevant sources of
13
     information? No, you wouldn't know.
14
             Counsel for -- Ms. Witty?
15
             MS. WITTY: From UMC's standpoint, they would
16
     not be repositories for UMC knowledge, no.
17
18
             THE SPECIAL MASTER:
                                  So you --
             MR. TOSTRUD: With respect to our case, I
19
     just want to clarify that question, because we --
20
21
             MS. WITTY: It would not be binding
22
     information. What information they might have through
     e-mail or other functions, we can discuss, but their
23
     testimony would not be UMC's testimony.
24
25
             THE SPECIAL MASTER: You don't have a -- let
```

1	MS. WOLKE: Okay.
2	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Well, I'm assuming that
3	you are not going to want everything off the index.
4	So you are going to sample from the index first.
5	MR. TOSTRUD: I see.
6	MS. WOLKE: Okay.
7	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Incorrect terminology.
8	I apologize.
9	They are going to send you a very specific
10	list of documents from the index, in their entirety
11	are to be put into a separate room, for then Counsel
12	for Plaintiffs to go in at their leisure, in a room
13	that is not a sweatshop, that has air conditioning,
14	that doesn't need windows, is accessible from at least
15	9:00 to 5:00 and is made and if security or
16	whatever is required, they make it accessible and
17	available.
18	They will go to the office. They will
19	whatever you guys do with your documents, identify,
20	"This is something we want," this group.
21	You will then Counsel for UMC will then
22	take the entire whatever it exists as, following the
23	ESI protocol, produce them all.
24	Do you want them in paper form or would you
25	like them electronically?
l	

	1 agc 212
-1	
1	
2	
3	T the understand on DDD and
4	I, the undersigned, an RPR, CRR, and Certified Shorthard Reporter of the States of Nevada
5	Certified Shorthand Reporter of the States of Nevada
6	and California, do hereby certify:
7	That the foregoing proceedings were taken
8	before me at the time and place herein set forth; that
9	a record of the proceedings was made by me using
10	machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed
11	under my direction; that the foregoing transcript is a
12	true record of the proceedings held.
13	I further certify I am neither financially
14	interested in the action nor a relative or employee
15	of any attorney or party to this action.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date
17	subscribed my name.
18	Dated: April 29, 2014
19	
20	
21	Faut Coleman
22	JANET C. TRIMMER CCR NO. 864
23	
24	
25	